



June 16, 2025

Ms. Kelly Hammerle  
Bureau of Ocean Energy Management  
U.S. Department of the Interior  
45600 Woodland Road,  
Sterling, VA 20166-9216

**RE: Opposition to New Lease Sales in the Proposed 5-Year OCS Oil & Gas Leasing Program from Southern California Coastal Organizations & Businesses**

Dear Secretary,

As organizations that depend on a clean and healthy coastal environment, we write to express our strong opposition to new oil and gas lease sales in the next 5-Year OCS Oil and Gas Leasing Program. Specifically, we ask that you protect the Southern California OCS planning area and all other U.S. waters from new oil and gas development.

The expansion of offshore oil and gas development in Southern California would cause significant and unnecessary negative impacts to our state's marine ecosystems, wildlife, coastal communities, and vital recreation and tourism industries. Instead of approving new oil and gas leasing in U.S. waters, our nation should prioritize clean energy by investing in renewable energy development.

Offshore oil and gas development in new areas would require seismic surveys, drilling operations, oil transport by tankers, and the installation of platforms, pipelines, and other infrastructure. Collectively, these activities would significantly damage the environment, marine wildlife, coastal economies, and ways of life. New offshore drilling would also expose the marine environment and coastal communities to the risks of another catastrophic oil spill. Finally, new offshore oil and gas leasing would exacerbate the climate crisis as our nation and world struggle to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

An overwhelming majority of the public is opposed to new offshore oil and gas drilling. To date, nearly 400 municipalities, 2,500 elected officials, 59,000 businesses, and 500,000 fishing families across the United States have formally opposed new offshore oil and gas development. Further, according to a national poll, an estimated [64% of registered U.S. voters](#) are opposed to new offshore drilling. The widespread opposition to new offshore drilling in U.S. waters is bipartisan and reflected throughout various regions of the country.



The federal offshore drilling program directly impacts our nation's ocean recreation, tourism, and fisheries industries that generate over [\\$520 billion annually](#). These industries depend on a clean coastal environment to support a combined 2.5 million jobs across the United States. Allowing new offshore drilling will damage public resources that these industries depend upon by generating harmful impacts through every phase of the drilling process. Such drilling will subject communities to the ever-present risks of oil spills and onshore impacts, which can be catastrophic to both the environment and economy.

Coastal businesses depend on clean beaches and waters, abundant wildlife, and scenic viewsheds – all of which would be compromised by the expansion of offshore drilling and an increased risk of spills. A major spill would cause catastrophic impacts to these coastal communities, a truth we should have learned from previous spills that have had long-lasting impacts on local tourism rates.

As recently as 2021, Amplify Energy's pipeline rupture caused approximately 25,000 gallons of oil to spill into federal waters off Huntington Beach, Orange County. The resulting destruction caused immeasurable damage to coastal ecosystems, closing beaches and harbors across Orange County and requiring cleanup crews as far south as neighboring San Diego County. The spill closed fisheries across 45 miles of coast for two months. In 2015, the Plains All American pipeline rupture at Refugio spilled over 140,000 gallons of crude oil, causing irreparable damage to the sacred Chumash lands and waters in the region, all the way south to Los Angeles County. Opening Southern California waters to more oil leasing would all but guarantee additional, irreversible damage to our coastal ecosystems, along with our recreation and tourism industries that rely heavily on a healthy coastline.

Finally, oil and gas development disproportionately impacts lower-income and communities of color who, too often, bear the brunt of fossil fuel operations. In the Gulf, the oil and gas industry has contributed to the extensive loss of coastal wetlands, leaving communities more vulnerable to flooding and extreme weather events. Meanwhile, onshore infrastructure associated with offshore leasing pollutes frontline communities and damages public health. The Department must account for these inequities in its analysis of potential new oil and gas lease sales in public waters.

In summary, we ask you to protect all U.S. waters, including the Southern California OCS region, from any new oil and gas leasing in the next 5-Year OCS Oil and Gas Program. We appreciate the opportunity to provide these comments to the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management.



Sincerely,

Niel Dilworth  
Executive Committee Chair  
Surfrider Foundation San Luis Obispo County Chapter

Stephanie Roberson  
Executive Committee Chair  
Surfrider Foundation Santa Barbara County Chapter

Alexandra Wall  
Executive Committee Chair  
Surfrider Foundation Ventura County Chapter

Eugenia Ermacora  
Chapter Manager  
Surfrider Foundation Los Angeles Chapter

Kevin Brothers  
Executive Committee Chair  
Surfrider Foundation South Bay Chapter

Mario Bautista  
Executive Committee Chair  
Surfrider Foundation Long Beach Chapter

Richard Busch  
Executive Committee Chair  
Surfrider Foundation North Orange County Chapter

Andre Molga  
Executive Committee Vice Chair  
Surfrider Foundation South Orange County Chapter

Tom Cook  
Executive Committee Chair  
Surfrider Foundation San Diego County Chapter